



CONVENTION ON BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

Distr.
GENERAL

UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/7/INF/1
24 October 2001

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

SUBSIDIARY BODY ON SCIENTIFIC,
TECHNICAL AND TECHNOLOGICAL ADVICE

Seventh meeting

Montreal, 12-16 November 2001

Item 4 of the provisional agenda*

MAIN THEME: FOREST BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

Impacts of human-induced fires on biodiversity and ecosystem functioning, and their causes in tropical, temperate and boreal forest biomes

Note by the Executive Secretary

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The problems and negative impacts associated with large-scale uncontrolled forest fires have increased worldwide over the past two decades. By far the worst forest fires, in terms of burnt area, in recent times occurred in 1997-98. Estimates suggest that fires adversely impacted as much as 20 million ha of forest worldwide. Despite the devastating picture evoked by media reports and the Internet in recent years, it is important to remember that fire is a vital and natural part of some forest ecosystems, and that humans have used fire for thousands of years as a land management tool. Similarly, the El Niño climate event that is often blamed for creating the drought conditions necessary for such large-scale fires is not a new phenomenon. However, in the latter part of the 20th century, changes in the man-fire dynamic and an increase in El Niño frequency have led to a situation where fires are now a major threat to many forests and the biodiversity contained therein.

Tropical rain forests, in particular, which were once thought to be resistant to fires, are now experiencing large-scale fires due to unsustainable management practices. Temperate forests in the United States of America in which fire was deliberately suppressed for management and political reasons, are now experiencing devastating wildfires due to an unnatural accumulation of fuel. Due to political and economic crises, boreal forests of the Russian Federation are experiencing some of the worst fires in decades.

Despite the sharp media focus, the position on international agendas and the many donor-funded development and research projects, forest fires continue to be a problem in many countries. In addition, surprisingly little attention has been paid to the impact of fires on forest ecosystems and biodiversity. Out of the 36 fire projects carried out or ongoing in Indonesia, a country of great biological diversity, between 1983 and 1998, only one addressed biodiversity. However, the research community has fared slightly better, although few long-term studies exist outside North America.

* UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/7/1.

/...

The Convention on Biological Diversity provides an adequate framework to address the negative impacts of human-induced fires on tropical, temperate, and boreal forest biological diversity:

(a) To achieve a reliable and operational system for national, regional and global forest fire monitoring and reporting to facilitate amelioration strategies (Articles 5, 7, 17 and 18);

(b) To protect ecosystems vulnerable to forest fires and that are critically important for conservation at the national and global levels, such as biodiversity hot-spots and protected areas (Article 8);

(c) To promote ecologically sustainable forest management, including environmentally sound expansion of plantations, elimination of illegal logging, and improvement of timber harvesting practices that reduce organic debris, and thus minimize unwanted fires (Articles 11 and 12);

(d) To rehabilitate and restore degraded or burned forest lands (Articles 8 and 10);

(e) To identify the processes and impacts of fires in vulnerable and globally significant ecosystems, including tropical and boreal peat-land systems, and tropical woodlands (Article 7);

(f) To provide training and education, educate and enhance public awareness in communities where fire is a major problem (Articles 12 and 13);

(g) To assess more fully the impact of forest fires on aquatic and marine systems of tropical and boreal biomes (Articles 7 and 12); and

(h) To improve and facilitate the exchange of information on the impact and contribution of forest fires to global climate change (Articles 5 and 17).

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
I. INTRODUCTION.....	4
II. THE MAJOR IMPACTS OF HUMAN-INDUCED, UNCONTROLLED FOREST FIRES ON BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY AND FOREST ECOSYSTEM FUNCTIONING, AND THEIR UNDERLYING CAUSES.....	5
III. IMPACTS OF FOREST FIRES ON TROPICAL FORESTS.....	6
A. Fire in tropical rain forests.....	7
B. Fire in tropical peat forests.....	8
C. Impact of forest fires on fauna in tropical forests.....	9
D. Direct and underlying causes of forest fires in tropical forests.....	9
IV. IMPACTS OF FOREST FIRES ON TEMPERATE FORESTS	10
A. Fire in temperate forests.....	10
B. Impact of forest fires on fauna in temperate forests	11
C. Direct and underlying causes of forest fires in temperate forests	11
V. IMPACTS OF FOREST FIRES ON BOREAL FORESTS	12
A. Fire in boreal forests	12
B. Impact of forest fires on fauna	13
C. Direct and underlying causes of forest fires in boreal forests.....	13
VI. SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF FOREST FIRES.....	14
VII. FOREST FIRES AND CLIMATE CHANGE.....	14
VIII. PROPOSALS TO ADDRESS THE NEGATIVE IMPACT OF FOREST FIRES.....	15
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	18
REFERENCES.....	19

IMPACTS OF HUMAN-INDUCED FIRES ON BIODIVERSITY AND ECOSYSTEM FUNCTIONING, AND THEIR CAUSES IN TROPICAL, TEMPERATE AND BOREAL FOREST BIOMES

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The negative impacts associated with large-scale uncontrolled forest fires have increased worldwide over the past two decades (Goldammer and Jenkins, 1990; Goldammer, 1999; Nepstad *et al.*, 1999 a, b; IUCN/WWF, 2000). By far the worst forest fires, in terms of burnt area, in recent times occurred in 1997-98, when as much as 20 million ha of forest were impacted worldwide.

2. Despite the devastating picture evoked by media reports and the Internet in recent years, it is important to remember that fire is a vital and natural part of some forest ecosystems, and that humans have used fire for thousands of years as a land management tool. Similarly, the El Niño climate event that is often blamed for creating the drought conditions necessary for such large-scale fires is not a new phenomenon. Nineteenth century reports describe droughts in South-East Asia, with the 1877/78 El Niño episode as one of the most severe on record (Kiladis and Diaz, 1986; Allen *et al.*, 1989; Harger, 1995 a, b; Trenberth and Hoar, 1997). However, in the latter part of the 20th century, changes in the man-fire dynamic and an increase in El Niño frequency (Brookfield *et al.*, 1995), have led to a situation where fires are now a major threat to many forests and the biodiversity therein. Tropical rain forests, in particular, which were once thought to be resistant to fires, are now experiencing large-scale fires due to unsustainable management practices (Kauffmann *et al.*, 1988; Woods, 1989; Uhl and Kauffmann, 1990; Holdsworth and Uhl, 1997; Cochrane *et al.*, 1999; Nepstad *et al.*, 1999 b). Temperate forests in the United States of America in which fire was deliberately suppressed for management and political reasons, are now experiencing devastating wildfires due to an unnatural accumulation of fuel (Agee, 1993).

3. As a result of the impact of large-scale forest fires in the 1980s and early 1990s, the issue has been forced onto the international agenda. In Agenda 21 at the 1992 United Nations Conference on Environment and Development in Rio de Janeiro, fires were mentioned as one of the many threats to forests. In the same year, the Bandung Fire Conference held in Indonesia provided the impetus for three long-term fire projects in Indonesia (BAPPENAS, 1992). As a direct result of the 1997-98 forest fires, the seriousness of the issue and the associated problems initiated 10 internationally funded projects or assessments (Dennis, 1999). Furthermore, the Rome Declaration on Forestry of March 1998 made special mention of forest fires, thus keeping the issue on the international agenda.

4. Despite the sharp media focus, the position on international agendas and the many donor-funded development and research projects, forest fires continue to be a problem in many countries. It is also interesting to note the differing foci of this attention. In the Indonesian context, research showed that many of the early donor-assisted fire projects focused on doing something practical about the problem, such as fire prevention, control and management without a thorough understanding of the underlying causes or the long-term ecological impacts (Dennis, 1999). Across boreal, temperate and tropical biomes, researchers have extensively investigated the ecological impact of fires on forest ecosystems (Goldammer 1990; Goldammer and Jenkins, 1990; Goldammer and Furyaev, 1996; Goldammer *et al.*, 1996). By comparison, the attention which has been paid to the impact of fires on forest biodiversity is less comprehensive and more case-specific, especially for the tropics. For example, out of the 36 donor-assisted fire projects carried out or ongoing in Indonesia, a country of great biological diversity, between 1983 and 1998, only the Worldwide Fund for Nature specifically addressed the impact on biodiversity.

5. The present paper brings together the results of a study of literature on the main impacts of human-induced, uncontrolled fires on forest biological diversity and forest ecosystem functions in tropical, temperate and boreal forests. Based on the findings, a number of proposals to address the negative impacts of those fires are presented. The document is based on the review prepared by the Center

for International Forestry Research (CIFOR), commissioned by the Secretariat to the Convention on Biological Diversity.

II. THE MAJOR IMPACTS OF HUMAN-INDUCED, UNCONTROLLED FOREST FIRES ON BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY AND FOREST ECOSYSTEM FUNCTIONING, AND THEIR UNDERLYING CAUSES

6. Globally, no reliable, consistent and comprehensive statistics about the annual distribution and extent of forest fires exist. However, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) in its latest decadal forest resources assessment (FRA 2000) (Food and Agriculture Organisation, 2001) includes forest fire statistics for the first time. The “Global Forest Fire Assessment 1990-2000” within the FRA 2000 is being prepared by the Global Fire Monitoring Center (GFMC) and is now in the final stages of production (personal communication, Johann G. Goldammer, Head, Global Fire Monitoring Center, August 2001). This assessment will provide a much needed, comprehensive source of information.

7. Clearly, obtaining global forest fire statistics is a difficult task; often, governments in developing countries do not have sufficient human or technical resources to carry out the assessment. Official government forest fire estimates can vary widely from non-official sources. This is well exemplified by the burnt area estimates for the Indonesian fires of 1997-98. The Ministry of Forestry stated that 263,000 ha of forestland burned in 1997 and 550,000 ha in 1998 (State Ministry of Environment, 1998). Based on satellite image analysis, and in some cases field checks, a combined group of non-government organisations came up with different estimates; the most widely cited of which is 4.7 million ha of natural forest impacted by fire (Asian Development Bank, 1999).

8. Confusion over forest fire terminology is also widespread. The term “forest fires” was commonly used to describe the Indonesian and Amazonian fires, but a large percentage of those fires were not in forests. Media reports, in particular, did not distinguish between fires in forests and fires in non-forest. In many countries, land managed by the forestry department will be called forest land regardless of whether it is still forested or not. Therefore, fires occurring in those areas will be not necessarily be true forest fires, but perhaps scrub fires. Such confusion makes it difficult to compare and contrast forest fire statistics. Apart from the need for increased clarity on the type of vegetation burned, there is also a need for improved information on the degree of the fire damage caused to forest. Keeping these distinctions clear makes a great difference, both in assessing the damage and the prospect for recovery, the social and economic cost of the fires, and in understanding the causes.

9. Fire can be a natural and important disturbance in many forests, but in others it can cause devastation. Some ecosystems, such as the Mediterranean shrublands and many pine forests, are fire-dependent, and their continued existence depends on the periodic occurrence of fires (Chandler *et al.*, 1983). In some forests, fire is deliberately used as a management tool (prescribed burning) to maintain ecosystems, allow regeneration and clear debris. Although some forest fires occur naturally, a combination of human activity, fuel availability, and climate accounts for the majority of fires. In temperate and boreal forests, lightning can be an ignition source, but in the moist tropics the contribution from lightning is insignificant. In boreal and temperate forests, management practices which deliberately suppress fires have created a situation where catastrophic fires are now a major problem. The vast majority of fires in the tropics are set intentionally for land-clearing and conversion, swidden agriculture and arson, and as such are land-use fires, not forest fires. In the past two decades, extended and frequent droughts, coupled with increased pressures on land and unsustainable forest use, especially in the tropics, have led to an increase in catastrophic fire events.

10. In terms of the impact of large-scale uncontrolled fires, on a global scale they can influence the chemical composition of the atmosphere and the reflectivity of the Earth's surface. On the regional and local scales, forest fires change biomass stocks; alter the hydrological cycle, with knock-on effects for

marine systems such as coral reefs; reduce visibility to near zero; impact the functioning of plant and animal species; and detrimentally impact the health and livelihoods of the human population (Goh *et al.*, 1999; Schwela *et al.*, 1999). Smoke from fires can significantly reduce photosynthetic activity (Davies and Unam, 1999).

11. Apart from the effect on forest vegetation, fire can have a significant impact on forest vertebrates and invertebrates. In forests where fire is a natural part of the system, species are adapted to a natural fire regime and can benefit from the aftermath of a fire. However, in forests where fire is not a natural disturbance, or where man suppresses the natural fire regime, the impact on species can be negative. The direct effect of fire on forest fauna is death. Indirect effects of fires are far-reaching and longer-term and include stress and loss of habitat, territories, shelter and food. Fires can also cause the displacement of territorial birds and mammals, which may upset the local balance and ultimately result in the loss of wildlife, since displaced individuals have nowhere to go. Loss of food trees reduces the carrying capacity of the forest, causing overall decline in species that rely on fruits for food; this is especially true in tropical forests. The destruction of standing cavity trees as well as dead logs on the ground affects most small mammal species and cavity-nesting birds (Kinnaird and O'Brien, 1998). The loss of key organisms in forest ecosystems, such as invertebrates, pollinators and decomposers, can significantly slow the recovery rate of the forest (Boer, 1989).

12. The majority of impacts of uncontrolled, human-induced fires on forests ecosystems are generally negative. From an ecological standpoint, any positive impacts would depend entirely on location, timing, intensity and frequency of fires. If fire were a natural part of the ecosystem and a human-induced, uncontrolled fire happened to coincide, then the impact could be consistent with ecological requirements and therefore positive. From a human perspective, if people have been using fire in a landscape for many years to maintain or manipulate specific values and an uncontrolled, human-induced fire were to take place, it might do what people would have intended and be consistent with human intentions. There may also be opportunistic, short-term benefits for people. In situations where fire is not a natural or normally human-induced part of the ecosystem, the impacts would be neutral or negative. However, fire-induced change is not automatically negative to all things and all people, although the positive aspects may be specific to sub-groups of plants or animals.

III. IMPACTS OF FOREST FIRES ON TROPICAL FORESTS

13. In the tropics, fires occur every dry season in savanna woodlands, monsoon forest and tropical pine forests. The focus of the present paper will be forests, not woodlands, but it is important to note that dry season fires in the understorey occur regularly and frequently in savanna woodlands, such as the miombo of tropical Africa (Trapnell, 1959; Kikula, 1986; Frost, 1996). In the tropical rain forests, large-scale fire is not a natural disturbance; but in the past two decades it has become an increasing threat. Those forests have been particularly badly impacted by fires since the early 1980s. Some of the most notable examples will be described here, but a more detailed description can be found in *The Global Review of Fires* (IUCN/WWF, 2000), specifically for Indonesia in Goldammer (Goldammer, 1990; Goldammer *et al.*, 1996), and for the Brazilian Amazon in Nepstad *et al.* (Nepstad *et al.*, 1999a). In 1982-83, fires affected up to 5 million ha of forests in Borneo (Lennertz and Panzer, 1983; Malingreau *et al.*, 1985; Leighton and Wirawan, 1986; Woods, 1987; Woods, 1989), while in the same years, in West Africa, notably in Côte d'Ivoire, 60,000 ha of forest were destroyed (Oura, 1999). In 1997-98, as much as 4.7 million ha of natural forest burned in Indonesia, mainly in Sumatra and Borneo, and 1.5 million ha of forest burned in northern Brazil (Nepstad *et al.*, 1999a). In 1998, forest fires also burned in Colombia, Guyana, Suriname and Venezuela. Fires returned to the region in 1999, including Bolivia, Paraguay and Peru. In the same year, Central America and Mexico were also badly affected by fires, with as much as 1.5 million ha of forest damaged, affecting many protected areas. The United Nations considered the 1997-98 fires in Indonesia and Brazil to be so bad that it dispatched United Nations Disaster Assessment and Coordination Teams to carry out assessment missions (UNDAC, 1998c; UNDAC, 1998a). Forest fires hit Ethiopia in March 2000, the first big fires since 1984. The threatened afro-montane forests and

moist tropical forests were badly damaged, with as much as 53,000 ha of Bale National Park destroyed (Goldammer, 2000). The extent of the forest fire statistics presented here are necessarily limited, for a more comprehensive picture consult Goldammer (1990).

14. Seasonal fires occur in the monsoon and dry semi-deciduous forests of continental and South-East Asia. These fires occur in the dipterocarp forests of Thailand or in the case of Myanmar and Laos, in natural teak forests. Tropical pine forests (*Pinus merkusii*) and bamboo forests are also affected by fire. The combination of human activities and seasonally available flammable fuels (grass-herb layer, fallen leaves) allows the spread of surface fires. Tree species exhibit adaptive traits such as thick bark, ability to heal fire scars, resprouting capability, and seed characteristics. The ecological importance of these annual fires on forest formations is significant. Fire strongly promotes fire-tolerant species, which replace the species potentially growing in an undisturbed environment. For example, data collected for Thailand between 1984 and 1986 showed that about 21 per cent of the forestland was affected by fire annually. By 1992 the burned area had dropped to 15 per cent or ca. 1.9 million ha. In Thailand, 5 per cent of forestland is plantations, which are twice as prone to fires as natural forests (Royal Forest Department, 1992).

A. *Fire in tropical rain forests*

15. Wildfires in most undisturbed, tall, closed-canopy, tropical rain forests are virtually impossible because a moist microclimate, moist fuels, low wind speeds and high rainfall create nearly non-flammable conditions (Kauffmann *et al.*, 1988; Kauffmann and Uhl, 1990; Holdsworth and Uhl, 1997; Cochrane and Schulze, 1999; Nepstad *et al.*, 1999 a, b). However, during severe droughts, as experienced during El Niño years, rain forests may become more susceptible to fire. Evidence from the Amazon and Indonesia support the theory that since the Pleistocene intermittent drought conditions have created the conditions for forest fires in long-return intervals (Sanford *et al.*, 1985; Uhl *et al.*, 1988; Goldammer and Seibert, 1989). However, a shift in the general perception that fires were not a significant threat to this forest type has come about since 1982-83, when an intense drought and accompanying fires destroyed large areas of the tropical forests of Borneo and West Africa. During the past two decades, the increased commercial exploitation of forests, apart from degrading forests and making them more fire prone, has also led to an increased use of fire in clearing tropical forests for agricultural expansion and plantations.

16. Fires in the tropical rain forest can be generally classified as forest surface fires and deforestation fires (Nepstad *et al.*, 1999a). In tropical peat swamp forests a third type of fire, the ground fire, can occur when peat layers ignite. The forest surface fires, which are often deceptively small and slow moving, ignite the organic debris lying on the forest floor. The principle forest damage comes not through the destruction of organic matter on the forest floor, but through the overheating of tree stems and lianas (Nepstad *et al.*, 1999a) which eventually causes the death of these plants, often months or years later. Depending on the intensity of the fire, it can kill virtually all seedlings, sprouts, lianas and young trees because they are not protected by thick bark. The most important tree family in Borneo, the *Dipterocarpaceae*, is adversely affected by fire due to its thin bark, high content of flammable resin, and a lack of resprouting capability (Whitmore, 1990). However, in lightly burnt forests dipterocarps can regenerate (Leighton and Wirawan, 1986). Conversely, the deforestation fire, which is more common in disturbed forest, can vary in intensity and burn standing trees, at its worst completely burning the forest leaving nothing alive but bare soil. This type of fire should not be confused with fire in forest conversion, which includes pre-fire treatment – clear-felling, drying and igniting the prepared conversion plots.

17. The level of pre-fire forest disturbance has a strong correlation with susceptibility to fire. In general, most investigators of the 1982-83 fires in Borneo found that fire intensity and damage sustained were significantly higher in logged-over forest, with the degree of fire-damage related to the logging intensity and residual debris on the forest floor (Lennertz and Panzer, 1983; Leighton, 1984; Mackie, 1984; Malingreau *et al.*, 1985; Wirawan, 1987; Woods, 1987; Woods, 1989; Wirawan, 1993). Researchers also noted that the fires in the forest had a significant negative impact on the seed-bank,

seedlings and saplings, which did little to assist the recovery of the original species (Woods, 1987; Woods, 1989). Logging activities often lead to a build up of woody debris on the forests floor and an opening of the canopy. As a consequence, the forest generally “dries out” and light-tolerant species such as grasses and shrubs colonize the forest floor.

18. One of the most important ecological effects of burning is the increased probability of further burning in subsequent years, as dead trees topple to the ground, opening up the forest to drying by sunlight and building up the fuel load with an increase in fire-prone species, such as pyrophytic grasses. The most destructive fires occur in forests that have burned previously (Cochrane *et al.*, 1999). Many of the forests that burned in Borneo in 1982-83 or during the following El Niño droughts burned again in 1997-98 (Hoffmann *et al.*, 1999). The consequence of repeated burns is detrimental, because it is a key factor in the impoverishment of biodiversity in rain forest ecosystems.

19. The post-fire assessment of the 1982-83 in Indonesia fires provided some qualitative assessments on the regeneration potential of forests affected by fire (Schindele *et al.*, 1989). The degree of expected recovery of the forest depended on the intensity of burning. For the undisturbed primary forest, it was stated that full recovery of the forest could be expected within a few years (Schindele *et al.*, 1989). However, in the disturbed forests, the prognosis for recovery in the presence of fire was not positive. In lightly disturbed burnt forest, the potential for recovery was good, but not without the help of rehabilitation methods. In moderately disturbed burnt forest, it was unlikely that there would be timber production for at least 70 years, and in heavily disturbed forest it would take hundreds of years to return to a typical rainforest ecosystem in the absence of fire.

20. There has been little success with post-fire rehabilitation of tropical rainforests. Following the 1982-83 fires in Indonesia, Schindele *et al.* (1989) proposed a number of rehabilitation measures such as afforestation, enrichment planting, timber stand improvement and encouragement of natural succession. However, there has been little success or commitment to rehabilitation of post-fire forests in East Kalimantan. The Sustainable Forest Management Project in East Kalimantan emphasized the importance of natural regeneration and mixed planting of native species, forest protection from further disturbance, and community participation for successful rehabilitation and management of post-fire forests (Sustainable Forest Management Project, 1999). The Indonesian Ministry of Forestry and Estate Crops introduced salvage logging as a management and financing tool in forest areas burned in 1997-98 (Sustainable Forest Management Project, 1999). Salvage logging gives companies the right to remove dead timber from severely burned logged-over forest or burned primary forest. There is some concern that salvage logging activities may adversely affect the course of vegetation succession (Nieuwstadt van *et al.*, in prep.), while there are strong indications that the practice is misused on a large scale to cut the still living trees in burnt areas.

21. In terms of the ecological impact of fires in tropical rain forests, the replacement of vast areas of forest with pyrophytic grasslands is probably the most negative impact. These processes have already been observed in parts of Indonesia and Amazonia (Turvey, 1994; Cochrane *et al.*, 1999; Nepstad *et al.*, 1999a). What was once a dense evergreen forest becomes an impoverished forest, populated by a few fire-resistant tree species and a ground cover of weedy grasses (Cochrane *et al.*, 1999). In north Queensland, in Australia, it has been observed that where the aboriginal fire practices and fire regimes were controlled, rainforest vegetation started to replace the fire-prone tree-grass savannas (Stocker, 1981).

B. Fire in tropical peat forests

22. Tropical peat forests deserve special mention, as drainage of these peatlands makes them particularly vulnerable to fire, especially ground fires, which produce noxious smoke (Asian Development Bank, 1999; Rieley, 2001). Peat land fires produced a significant proportion of the smoke generated by the Indonesian fires of 1997. Within the last 10 years, tropical peat forests in South-East Asia declined by 9.5 million ha, much of which went up in smoke (Rieley, 2001). Peat mainly burns with

a non-flaming processes and is generally a very low-intensity combustion process. It produces high emissions of particulate matter, CO, and other compounds of incomplete combustion (Schwela *et al.*, 1999), which makes it particularly detrimental to respiratory health (Goh *et al.*, 1999). The year 1997 saw some of the severest peat swamp forest fires ever known in the region. Fires penetrated into the dried-out surface peat to a depth of up to 1.5 metres, and between 750 million and one billion tonnes of carbon were released into the atmosphere from Indonesia alone (Asian Development Bank, 1999; Rieley, 2001).

C. *Impact of forest fires on fauna in tropical forests*

23. There are still few in-depth studies of the effect of fires on tropical rain forest biodiversity. One can draw on a number of case studies that took place after the fires in 1982-83 and 1997-98 in Indonesia. The 1982-83 fires in Kutai National Park, East Kalimantan, resulted in widespread mortality of reptiles and amphibians (Leighton, 1984; MacKinnon *et al.*, 1996). Fruit-eating birds such as hornbills declined dramatically and only insectivorous birds, such as woodpeckers, were common due to the abundance of wood-eating insects. Rabinowitz (Rabinowitz, 1990) reports that burned dipterocarp forest in Thailand is impoverished of small mammals, birds and reptiles, and that carnivores tend to avoid burned-over areas. In Borneo, the orang utan (*Pongo pygmaeus*) suffered a 33 per cent decline in its population due to the 1997-98 forest fires (Rijksen and Meijaard, 1999). In Sumatra, Kinnaird and O'Brien (Kinnaird and O'Brien, 1998) reported fire damage in the Bukit Barisan Selatan National Park during the fires of 1997. The loss of fruit trees reduced the fruit availability to a large number of omnivorous species, such as primates and squirrels, Sun Bear (*Ursus malayanus*) and civets, as well as ungulates such as mouse deer (*Tragulus* sp.) and muntjac (*Muntiacus* sp.). The reduction in densities of ground squirrels and tree shrews suggested that rodent densities in general declined, which adversely affected the food supply for small carnivores such as the leopard cat (*Prionailurus bengalensis*). The destruction of tree cavities affected birds and mammals such as tarsiers, bats, and lemurs. Finally, the extensive fires destroyed the leaf litter and its associated arthropod community, further reducing food availability for omnivores and carnivores (Kinnaird and O'Brien, 1998). For other tropical rain forests there is a paucity of published information of the impacts on animal biodiversity. Following surface fires in the Brazilian Amazon, there was a decline in slow-moving animals, frugivores and much of the litter fauna (Nepstad *et al.*, 1999a).

D. *Direct and underlying causes of forest fires in tropical forests*

24. Fire is an important tool for preparing land in the tropics. For numerous reasons, humans cause the vast majority of forest fires in the tropics. In terms of direct causes, there are four main anthropogenic causes of forest fires in the tropics; land clearing with fire; fire being used as weapon in land tenure or land use disputes; accidental or escaped fire; and fires connected with resource extraction (Tomich *et al.*, 1998; Nepstad *et al.*, 1999a; Barber *et al.*, 2000; Applegate *et al.*, 2001). Land development strategies, such as ranching in Amazonia (Nepstad *et al.*, 1999a) or pulp or oil palm plantations in Indonesia (Barber *et al.*, 2000; Applegate *et al.*, 2001), use fire for land preparation and have significantly contributed to forest fires in recent years. In addition, small-holder farmers use fire in the preparation of land for permanent crops or swidden agriculture. These land-clearing fires often escape the intended area of burn, especially during El Niño years, and burn nearby forests. Arson is a major cause of fire in many resource-rich areas, where land is either scarce for agricultural production, and/or where there is resource conflict over tenure or access rights (Applegate *et al.*, 2001). In Indonesia, it was found that arson was particularly evident in areas of natural forest on fertile soils, and areas where large landholders had obtained land for large-scale plantations, such as oil palm (Applegate *et al.*, 2001).

25. A study in Indonesia identified six major underlying causes of fires, many of which are similar to findings for other tropical forests (Nepstad *et al.*, 1999a; Applegate *et al.*, 2001). Firstly, inappropriate and uncoordinated land use allocation creates a situation where forests are converted to non-forest use, such as plantations. Land tenure issues were found to be one of the most common causes of forest fires in Indonesia (Applegate *et al.*, 2001). These problems arise in a number of situations: when informal land tenure security promotes site occupation and forest conversion; where there is no incentive for local

communities to control unwanted fires for which they have no responsibility and receive no benefit; where there are overlapping land claims between local communities, migrants, large communities, large companies and forest managers; and where there is a lack of a transparent legal system to address land claims and traditional communal rights. Shifts in demographic characteristics resulting from large-scale migration also lead to forest fires due to a lack of commitment to the new location and inexperience with the use of fire in a new environment. Forest-degrading practices resulting from inappropriate timber harvesting practices can be an underlying cause of forest fires, for example large-scale drainage systems in swamps that lower the water table and provide increased access (Rieley, 2001). Financial incentives/disincentives created through increased profitability of alternative land use (e.g. coffee, small-holder rubber, oil palm, rubber, timber, cattle ranching) and perverse development processes and mechanisms can indirectly cause forest fires (Nepstad *et al.*, 1999a; Applegate *et al.*, 2001). Inadequate institutional capacity resulting from lack of capability, resources and political will to monitor and deal with encroachment and other illegal activities in forest areas can lead to forest fires. Finally, inadequate forest management plans and facilities to prevent and suppress accidental or escaped fires in plantations and natural forest can lead to fires.

IV. IMPACTS OF FOREST FIRES ON TEMPERATE FORESTS

26. According to FAO, the United States had the highest annual number of reported fires in the 1990s (FAO, 2001), although this may be more due to reporting procedures than actually having the highest number of fires. In 1998, as much as 5 million ha of forest were affected in the United States and Canada (IUCN/WWF, 2000). The states of Idaho and Montana were particularly affected in 2000, and much of Montana was declared a disaster area. United States officials said that “brush” fires raging across 11 western states were the worst in 50 years and that there were more than 60,000 fires, which burnt nearly 2.9 million ha of land (GFMC, 2000).

27. In much of temperate Europe, forest fires are negligible. However, the Mediterranean Basin is an exception. Between 1989 and 1993, 2.6 million ha of forest and woodland were destroyed by fire in the Mediterranean (Xanthopoulos, 2000). Both the number of fires and burnt area are believed to have doubled since the 1970s, though some increase is thought to be due to better and more accurate forest fire monitoring (IUCN/WWF, 2000). The worst-affected countries are France, Greece, Italy, Portugal and Spain. At present, the Mediterranean experiences 50,000 fires a year, which burn an estimated 600,000 ha (Economic Commission for Europe/FAO, 1999). Severe fires occurred in 1998 and 2000, exacerbated by extremely hot, dry weather. Data for 1998 show that 127,940 ha of coniferous forest burned in Spain, 70,027 ha in Italy, of which 8,483 ha was broadleaved forest, and 109,967 ha of forest and woodland in Portugal (Economic Commission for Europe/FAO, 1999).

A. *Fire in temperate forests*

28. Fires are a natural and important disturbance in many temperate forests. This is seen in plant adaptations such as thick bark, which enables a species to withstand or resist recurrent low-intensity fires, while less well-adapted associates perish. Some tree species, notably the Jack Pine (*Pinus banksiana*) and Lodgepole Pine (*Pinus contorta*), have serotinus (late-opening) cones. While closed, these cones hold a viable seed bank in the canopy that remains protected until fire affects the tree. After fire the cone scales open, releasing the seed into a freshly prepared ash bed. Many plant species have the ability to resprout after being burned, either from the rootstock or the stem (Agee, 1993). Mountain ash, a eucalypt of temperate Australia, also requires a site to completely burn and be exposed to full sun for the species to regenerate prolifically (IUCN/WWF, 2000). Forest flammability is high in the Mediterranean Basin and most plant communities are fire-prone. *Quercus ilex* is resistant to mild fires and woodlands recover without any major floral or structural change (Trabaud *et al.*, 1980). If fire is neither frequent nor intense, open cork oak (*Q. suber*) forests can be maintained without management.

B. Impact of forest fires on fauna in temperate forests

29. With regard to the effect on biodiversity, in Portugal it was found that the current fire regime probably contributed to maintaining the bird diversity at the landscape level (Moreira *et al.*, 2001), while in Israel species richness in certain areas was the highest two to four years after a fire, followed by a decrease over time (Kutiel, 1997). In North America, fire suppression in some areas, has contributed to the decline of grizzly bear (*Ursus arctos horribilis*) numbers (Contreras *et al.*, 1986). Fires promote and maintain many important berry-producing shrubs and forbs, which are an important food source for bears, as well as providing habitat for insects and in some cases carrion. In the 1998 Yellowstone National Park fires, Blanchard and Knight (1990) stated: "*The most important apparent immediate effect of fires on grizzly bears was the increased availability of some food items, especially carcasses of elk.*" In largely non-forest environments of Australia, detrimental fire regimes contributed to the extinction of two of the three bird species and three of the four subspecies that have disappeared from Australia since European colonization (Woinarski, 1999). Of the threatened species (typically associated with non-forest environments) that have been the subject of fire studies in Australia, almost all show clear preference for very low fire frequencies and certain intensities that may not be currently prevailing. Not all species suffer from fire, however. For instance, grass-layer beetle species in Australia's savannahs showed remarkable resilience to fire, although fires affect abundance, species and family richness. This particular research suggested that fire management should be more mindful of fire frequency rather than intensity (Orgeas and Andersen, 2001). Similarly, in an area where frequent burning occurs on a broad scale, preserving a range of microhabitats can make a substantial contribution to conserving biodiversity (Andrew *et al.*, 2000).

C. Direct and underlying causes of forest fires in temperate forests

30. Throughout the 20th century, humans have inadvertently or deliberately changed the fire regimes of temperate forests. In the United States, where prescribed burning was once popular, pressure groups organized around the United States Forest Service have steadily led to the suppression of fires on federal land. This has come with an increasing economic and ecological cost. Many commentators on fire in the United States would echo the following statement by Stephen Pyne (1997), a renowned fire historian; "*Today there is a maldistribution of burning – too much wildfire, too little controlled fire.*" The scale of the devastation caused by recent fires in the United States has added fuel to the 20-30 year old "burn, no-burn" debate. The attempted removal of fire from the environment has seriously upset many biota, as well as compromised the prospects for future fire protection. Concerning this, Pyne aptly wrote: "*Biodiversity can be lost as surely through fire exclusion as through fire excess*". After the fires of the past few years, experts agree that successes in controlling forest fires over the past 50 years have left a large amount of deadwood in the forests, providing potential fuel for large-scale wildfires. In North America, data collected by the National Interagency Fire Centre for the years 1991-97 show that lightning, debris burning and arson are consistently the three main causes of fire in the United States (IUCN/WWF, 2000). In Australia, manipulation of fire, either explicitly or by default, is the main landscape management tool in many environments and most conservation areas. Inappropriate fire regimes (too much or too little) are the main threat to many vulnerable and endangered birds (Garnett, 1992) and other biota, such as plants (Leigh *et al.*, 1984).

31. In the Mediterranean, there are few landscapes that have not been altered by the human activities of grazing, cutting, coppicing, terracing and burning. However, many of them are now abandoned or altered. One outcome of this altered pattern has been a general increase in the quantity and flammability of fuels. An additional significant factor has been the extensive establishment of pine and eucalyptus plantations for sawlog and pulpwood production. These highly flammable monocultures have sustained many of the large wildfires (Goldammer and Jenkins, 1990). An important element in the wildfire problem in the Mediterranean has been the unprecedented occurrence of arson, as many as 95 per cent of the fires in 1989 could have been deliberately set (Goldammer *et al.*, 1990). The specific reasons for such activities are difficult to determine, but some of the reasons may be the availability of burnt lands for

construction of tourist resorts, revenge, or people dissatisfied by the government establishment of plantations and suppression of traditional activities (Goldammer and Jenkins, 1990). In Greece, an increase in fires since the 1980s can be attributed in a large part to the activity of people near the forest edge. New roads and an increase in car ownership offer easier access to the forest. In the hot summer months, people leave the cities and seek cooler spots along the coast or in the mountains, increasing the risk of accidental fires (Xanthopoulos, 2000). In Algeria, one underlying cause of fire is conflicts between local inhabitants and forest authorities. People who have been penalized for illegal wood cutting or grazing start forest fires as an act of revenge. Madoui (Madoui, 2000) suggests that this is the cause of the majority of forest fires. In the period 1907-1957, fires that occurred due to negligence (smoking, camp fires, honey collecting) accounted for as much as 52 per cent of forest fires. In the period 1977-1991, this figure dropped to 18 per cent, with unknown causes accounting for 82 per cent of fires.

V. IMPACTS OF FOREST FIRES ON BOREAL FORESTS

32. Some of the largest fires in the world occur in boreal forests. In 1987, in north-eastern China 1.3 million ha were destroyed by a single fire (Di and Ende, 1990). The largest area of boreal forest lies in the Russian Federation and fires are a common annual event (Shvidenko and Goldammer, 2001). In 1915, forest fires were estimated to have destroyed 14 million ha of closed forest in Russia (Shvidenko and Goldammer, 2001). In 1998, which was an exceptionally dry year, approximately 2 million ha of forests burned in the eastern Russian Federation region of Khabarovsk near the border with China and on Sakhalin Island (UNDAC, 1998b). However, recent estimates from satellite imagery conclude that 7.2 million ha of forestland (defined as State Forest Land and may include unforested areas) burned in the Asian part of the Russian Federation, of which 1 million ha were burned in crown fires (Shvidenko and Goldammer, 2001). Very dry conditions also prevailed in Canada, where 4.5 million ha of forest burned. Nearly ten years earlier, in 1989, as much as 7.6 million ha burned in the Canadian boreal forests (Canadian Council of Forest Ministers, 1998). For a more comprehensive description and discussion of fires in boreal Eurasia one should consult the definitive monograph on the subject (Goldammer and Furyaev, 1996).

A. *Fire in boreal forests*

33. Fire, often of high intensity, is the major natural disturbance mechanism in boreal forests. Fire return times in natural forests varies greatly, from as little as 40 years in some Jack pine (*Pinus banksiana*) ecosystems in central Canada, to as long as 300 years depending on climate patterns (van Wagner, 1978). In Northwest Europe the normal fire frequency on a given site is usually 40-200 years in natural conditions. In Sweden it has been estimated that about 1 per cent of the forest land burned yearly before systematic suppression of fires started in the late 19th century (Zackrisson, 1977). Most boreal conifers and broad-leaved deciduous trees suffer high mortality even at low fire intensities, owing to canopy architecture, low foliar moisture and thin bark (Johnson, 1992). Some pines (*Pinus banksiana*, *P. resinosa*, *P. monticola* and *P. sylvestris*) have thicker bark and generally greater crown base and height, and old tall trees can often survive several fires. The disturbance regime of fire creates succession patterns responsible for the mosaic of age classes and communities. Natural wildfire patterns are dependent on a variety of variables (frequency and intensity of the fire, vegetation type, and the site factors such as slope, elevation, tree species, and age class). It is important to note that fire refuges exist in some parts of the forest on moist sites with local humidity, where fire may be absent for several hundred years. Fire refuges are vital to the forest, because many species may survive only in such areas and then supply a seed source to recolonize the burned areas (Ohlson *et al.*, 1997).

34. Generally, the ability of post-fire boreal forest to regenerate is high but frequent high-intensity fires can offset this balance. Due to the extreme severity of the 1998 fires in the Russian Federation, more than 2 million ha of forest have lost the majority of their major ecological functions for a period of 50-100 years (Shvidenko and Goldammer, 2001) On the contrary, in the natural forests of the northern and sparsely stocked taiga and forest tundra, particularly on permafrost sites, surface fires occurring at long-

return intervals of 80 to 100 years represent a natural mechanism that prevents the transformation of forests to shrubland or grassland (Shvidenko and Goldammer, 2001). Exclusion of fire induces the build-up of organic layers that prevents melting of the upper soil and rise of the permafrost layer, resulting in impoverishment of forests, decreasing productivity, and conversion to marshes. Severe fires have had a significant negative impact on plant biodiversity. Southern species that are at the northern edge of their geographic range are particularly vulnerable. For example, in Primorsky Krai (Russian Federation), the richness of 60 species of vascular plants, 10 fungi, 8 lichens and 6 species of mosses resulted in negative change during the previous decades, mostly due to human-induced fires and fragmentation of forests (Shvidenko and Goldammer, 2001).

B. Impact of forest fires on fauna

35. The number of the rare and critically endangered Amur (Siberian) tiger (*Panthera tigris altaica*), wild boar (*Sus scrofa*), and moose on large areas burned in 1976 in the Amur River basin eastern Russian Federation decreased from 1972 to 1997 by 20-50 times (Shvidenko and Goldammer, 2001). Much of the area affected by the 1998 fires is prime Amur tiger habitat. It is estimated that only 400 individuals remain in the wild. Estimates from the 1998 fires suggest that mammals and fish were badly affected; mortality of squirrels and weasels reached 70-80 per cent, boar 15-25 per cent, and rodents 90 per cent (Shvidenko and Goldammer, 2001). Increased water temperatures and high carbon dioxide levels adversely affected salmon spawning (Shvidenko and Goldammer, 2001). In North America, moose are occasionally trapped and killed by fire (Gasaway and Du Bois, 1985). However, fire generally enhances moose habitat by creating and maintaining seral communities, and is considered beneficial to moose populations (MacCracken and Viereck, 1990). The beneficial effects of fire on its habitat is estimated to last less than 50 years, with moose density peaking 20 to 25 years following fire (LeResche *et al.*, 1974). Extremely large, hot, and fast-moving wildfires can force moose to temporarily abandon their home ranges (LeResche *et al.*, 1974). The effect of fire on grey wolf (*Canis lupus*) habitat is best defined by how fire affects grey wolves' prey. Beaver (*Castor canadensis*), moose and deer are fire-dependent species, requiring the plant communities that persist following frequent fires (Kramp *et al.*, 1983). Similarly, now absent from the old-growth forests of Minnesota, caribou (*Rangifer tarandus*) were once an important prey for grey wolves. These forests do not provide sufficient food to sustain other ungulates for grey wolves to prey on. Due to fire exclusion, these old-growth forests have increased, checking ungulate populations and consequently limiting grey wolf populations (Heinselman, 1973). Fire was once thought to be detrimental to caribou because it destroys the slow-growing lichens formerly considered primary caribou food. Many believe that fire is beneficial to caribou in the long term (Klein, 1982). There is no dispute that fires can kill important lichen species and that these lichens can take a minimum of 30 years to recover. However, there is dispute over what constitutes recovery, and lichen reestablishment does not always lead to caribou recovery.

C. Direct and underlying causes of forest fires in boreal forests

36. Although fire has long been used as a land-clearance tool in the Russian Federation, the political and economic crises are said to be the main underlying causes of recent large-scale fires (IUCN/WWF, 2000). Due to job scarcity and insecurity, people are turning to the forests for income. Hunting, poaching (the market price for the pelt of an Amur tiger is \$30,000), fishing, illegal logging (occurring at an unparalleled rate) and collection of forest products such as berries and mushrooms have increased significantly. These activities have led to a large increase in the number of people in the forest, which has exacerbated the risk of accidental fires. Authorities believe that 70-85 per cent of fires are anthropogenic, and west of the Urals this figure rises to 100 per cent (IUCN/WWF, 2000). In addition, the government does not have the human or financial resources to enforce regulations, monitor and manage forests and prevent fire. In Canada, data collected from 1981 to 1995 indicate that lightning causes some 42 per cent of forest fires. These fires, however, burn approximately 85 per cent of the total forest burned each year. Interestingly, it has been reported that people are responsible for starting two-thirds of forest fires in Canada (Todd and Kourtz, 2000).

VI. SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF FOREST FIRES

37. Many of the costs of forest fires to society are difficult to quantify in monetary terms because they involve ecological processes and services that are not traded in the market place, but that sustain the production of food, fibre, and other commercial products. There are a number of estimates available for the economic impacts of fires and smoke from various fire events. It is not easy to compare and contrast these estimates, as different methodologies are used and many include forest and non-forest fires. This is a major drawback in collecting meaningful fire data for producing global evaluations.

38. The economic losses from the 1997-98 Indonesian fires were conservatively estimated at US\$9 billion (Asian Development Bank, 1999). Breaking this figure down into its forest-related components shows that timber losses from natural forests reached US\$ 2 billion, lost growth of natural forests was calculated at US\$377 million, loss of non-timber forest products reached US\$586 million, loss of flood protection was estimated at US\$400 million, and the cost of erosion and siltation totalled US\$1.5 billion. The cost of carbon emissions was estimated at 1.4 billion, based on a figure of US\$10/t C. In the Amazon, total timber losses resulting from surface fires exceed several million dollars per year, and may reach tens of millions when large areas of un-logged forest catch fire because of drought-induced fire susceptibility (Nepstad *et al.*, 1999a). The economic losses associated with forest fire may be much more significant for smallholders than large holders, as they depend on the forest for a wide range of services and uses (Nepstad *et al.*, 1999a).

39. Few estimates are available for the economic impact from temperate and boreal forest fires. Timber companies owned a quarter of the land burned in 1998 in the United States, and timber losses were estimated to exceed US\$300 million (IUCN/WWF, 2000). The cost to fight the fires was US\$130 million (IUCN/WWF, 2000). Preliminary estimates for the 1998 fires in the Russian Federation suggest that timber losses might reach 400-500 million cubic metres, about four times the current level of harvest in the Russian Federation. Some regions have now lost their potential for industrial tree harvesting (Shvidenko and Goldammer, 2001).

40. Smoke and "haze" from forest fires produce some of the most visible costs to society. People suffer respiratory problems, which puts pressure on meagre medical facilities in many tropical countries. According to the Brazilian Ministry of Health (Nepstad *et al.*, 1999a), twice as many patients are admitted to hospital each month due to respiratory problems during the peak of the burning season than during other months. Estimates suggest that between 20 million and 70 million people were adversely affected by smoke from the Indonesian fires and at least 40,000 people were hospitalized in Indonesia and neighbouring countries (Barber and Schweithelm, 2000; Asian Development Bank, 1999; Glover and Jessup, 1999; Schwela *et al.*, 1999). Smoke reduces visibility, provoking transportation accidents and airport shutdowns. This often leads to transboundary smoke pollution, which provokes international indignation (ADB, 1999; Goh *et al.*, 1999; Schwela *et al.*, 1999).

VII. FOREST FIRES AND CLIMATE CHANGE

41. Forest fires are a significant source of emitted carbon, which exacerbates global warming as well as being an irreplaceable carbon sink. Substantial amounts of carbon have been released from forest clearing at high and mid-latitudes over the past centuries and in the tropics during the latter part of the 20th century. From 1850 to 1998, approximately 270 (+/- 30) Gt C have been emitted as CO₂ into the atmosphere from fossil fuel burning and cement production (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2000). About 136 (+/- 55) Gt C have been emitted as a result of land use change, predominantly from forest ecosystems (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2000). Estimates vary, but biomass burning is now recognized as a significant source of CO₂ and is generally considered to contribute between 20 and 40 per cent of total CO₂ emissions worldwide (IUCN/WWF, 2000). Carbon released into the atmosphere from biomass burning in tropical forests was estimated at 212 Gt C from vegetation and 216 Gt C from the soil (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2000). This figure is much lower in

the temperate forests, where only 59 Gt C are held in vegetation and 100 Gt C in the soil. The largest carbon stocks are in boreal forests, where 88 Gt C are in vegetation and 471 Gt C in the soil. Some estimates are available for specific fire events. Direct emissions of carbon during the 1998 fires in the Russian Far East totaled 172.8 million tons, of which forest fires emitted 133.8 million tons (Shvidenko and Goldammer, 2001). During the 1997-98 fires in Indonesia, between 750 million and one billion tonnes of carbon were released into the atmosphere from peat swamp clearing and burning alone (Asian Development Bank, 1999; Rieley, 2001). One estimate calculates that fires from all the world's tropical forests in 1998 released 1-2 billion tonnes of carbon, which is equivalent to one-third of the emissions from fossil fuel burning worldwide (IUCN/WWF, 2000).

42. Climate change predictions by Working Group II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, published in its report in February 2001, conclude that forest fires will become an increasing problem in many forest biomes. The globally averaged surface temperature has increased by 0.6 +/- 0.2°C over the 20th century and average air temperature is projected to rise 1.4° to 5.8°C by 2100, relative to 1990 (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2000). In Latin America, it is expected that large forest areas will be affected as a result of projected changes in climate. Climate change could add additional stress to the adverse effects of continued deforestation of the Amazon rainforest. This impact could lead to biodiversity losses, reduce rainfall and runoff within and beyond the Amazon Basin and affect the global carbon cycle. Forest fires are predicted to increase in North American forests. Reduced soil moisture during the summer will increase drought stress and the incidence of wildfires. Flannigan and van Wagner (1991) and Stocks and Lynham (1996) both underscore the fact that fire may be the driving force in changing the boreal forest under rising temperature. The severity of fire is also likely to increase as Fosberg *et al.* (1996) showed that the current worst 10 per cent of moderate fires in Russian Federation and Canada would be classified as extreme fire ignition and severity potential in the future. Tropical forests are expected to warm by 2°C above 1970s levels by the mid-21st century, with larger effects in continental interiors (UNEP-WCMC, 2000). Inter-annual variability in large-scale climate events such as El Niño may act to exacerbate rainfall extremes. Goldammer and Price (1998) predict that land use and climate under conditions of global warming will result in a high wildfire risk in tropical rain forests and tropical dry forests (Goldammer and Price, 1998).

VIII. PROPOSALS TO ADDRESS THE NEGATIVE IMPACT OF FOREST FIRES

43. **To achieve a reliable and operational system for national, regional and global forest fire monitoring and reporting to facilitate amelioration strategies (Articles 5, 17 and 18 of the Convention on Biological Diversity) through:**

(a) Inter-agency/inter-sectoral collation and storage of comparable datasets on the extent, impacts on ecosystems, biodiversity, and socio-economy, costs, and direct and underlying causes of forest fires worldwide;

(b) Identification of a suitable institution and an operational strategy for a clearing-house on worldwide forest fires data; and (this is currently underway under the ISDR-IATF WG IV on Wildland Fires (personal communication, Johann G. Goldammer, Head, Global Fire Monitoring Center, August 2001));

(c) Promotion and encouragement of participation of all stakeholders in providing data to the clearing-house. The Global Fire Monitoring Center (GFMC) already systematically collects global fire statistics and allows free and open access. Instead of suggesting a new clearing-house, it would be more appropriate to support and strengthen the GFMC.

44. To protect ecosystems that are vulnerable to forest fires and are critically important for conservation of national and global biodiversity, such as biodiversity hot-spots, and protected areas (Article 8 of the Convention on Biological Diversity) through:

(a) Identification and development of appropriate fire management regimes for protected areas and biodiversity hot-spots under most threat from fire in tropical, temperate and boreal forest biomes;

(b) Recognizing local communities and multi-stakeholder interests in the use of forests, develop appropriate fire management plans in and around locations of high biodiversity value; and

(c) Recognizing that important forest biodiversity also exists in timber production forests or outside the protected area system, develop sets of standards for the preparation of environmentally sound and sustainable management plans (including fire management) that take account of biodiversity conservation and local community needs.

45. To encourage community participation and involvement in fire management, prevention and suppression plans (Articles 8 and 10 of the Convention on Biological Diversity) through:

(a) Promotion of community involvement and education about forest and land fires;

(b) Promotion and encouragement of policies that create and support community-managed forests;

(c) Management and development of fire use to improve outcomes and reduce the incidence of agricultural fires burning beyond the area of intention;

(d) Mandating and equipping natural resources managers, in partnership with communities and relevant stakeholders, to prepare and implement integrated fire management plans that promote a balance between fire prevention, response and restoration, and discourage strategies that rely too heavily on fire-fighting as the primary means to deal with forest fire.

46. To promote ecologically sustainable forest management, including environmentally sound based expansion of plantations, elimination of illegal logging, and improvement of timber harvesting practices to reduce organic debris to minimise unwanted fires (Articles 11 and 12 of the Convention on Biological Diversity) through:

(a) Development of appropriate sets of standards for the development of tropical plantations (timber, oil palm, rubber) taking full account of biodiversity conservation and local community needs;

(b) Development of a strategy to facilitate the adoption of improved timber harvesting practices by timber companies, local communities, and local government in the tropical and boreal forest biomes;

(c) Identification of the economic instruments and incentives that encourage improved fire management by land users;

(d) Identification of why policies and regulations have not been effective in reducing the large-scale catastrophic in places such as Indonesia, Russian Far East, the United States of America, Amazonia and the Mediterranean.

47. To rehabilitate degraded or burned forest lands (Articles 8 and 10 of the Convention on Biological Diversity) through:

(a) Identification of methods and mechanisms to support local communities to develop remedial and income-generating activities in degraded areas;

(b) The identification of impediments to adoption of rehabilitation processes and activities in degraded and burnt forestland in the tropics and boreal biomes;

(c) Identification of the economic instruments and incentives that will lead to the adoption of rehabilitation processes and activities in burnt forestland.

48. To identify the processes and impacts of fires in vulnerable and globally significant ecosystems including tropical and boreal peat land systems, and tropical woodlands (Article 7 of the Convention on Biological Diversity) through:

(a) Identification of peat lands in the tropical and boreal biomes which are under threat from forest conversion and fire, their extent and characteristics;

(b) Estimation of the contribution of the respective peat land forest and tropical woodland fires to global carbon emissions; and

(c) Identification of the underlying causes of fires in peat land forests.

49. Training, education and public awareness in communities where fire is a major problem. (Articles 12 and 13 of the Convention on Biological Diversity)

(a) In many areas where local communities live in and around forests, fire is a major threat to health, livelihood and forest ecosystems. There is therefore a need to develop an awareness of both the negative and positive impacts of the use of fire in these areas. This will require the use of a range of scientific and technical inputs presented in an easily accessible format, e.g. mass media and village forums;

(b) Build awareness among policy makers, the public and the media as to the underlying causes of forest fires, their associated societal and economic costs and the importance of addressing these in a systematic fashion.

50. To assess more fully the impact of forest fires on aquatic and marine systems of tropical and boreal biomes (Articles 7 and 12 of the Convention on Biological Diversity) through:

(a) Assessment of the impact of smoke and increased run off and sedimentation on tropical coral reef ecosystems;

(b) Assessment of the impact of smoke and increased run off and sedimentation on boreal aquatic ecosystems.

51. To improve and facilitate the exchange of information on the impact and contribution of forest fires to global climate change (Article 5 of the Convention on Biological Diversity). This could include participation in international climate change fora such as the UNFCCC and IPCC. Using improved information developed under 8.1. and 8.6. above. The aforementioned ISDR-IATF WG IV on Wildland Fires will serve the conventions, including UNFCCC/IPCC and CBD etc. ((personal communication, Johann G. Goldammer, Head, Global Fire Monitoring Center, August 2001).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The present paper was commissioned by the Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity to be prepared by the Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR). It was then reviewed externally through the peer-review process. It was also posted on the website of the Convention for comments by the scientific community at large.

The Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity wishes to acknowledge the consultants who prepared the final draft of the document: Rona Dennis (CIFOR), Erik Meijaard (Australian National University), Grahame Applegate (CIFOR), Robert Nasi (CIFOR) and Peter Moore (WWF-IUCN). The Secretariat expresses its gratitude for their review and comments to the following individuals and organizations: Amha bin Buang (ITTO), Johann G. Goldammer (Global Fire Monitoring Center), John Herity and the Canadian Forestry Service, Gareth Rees and his Australian colleagues.

REFERENCES

- Agee, J. K. (1993). *Fire Ecology of Pacific Northwest Forests*. Washington, D.C., Island Press.
- Allen, B., Brookfield, H., and Byron, Y. (1989). Frost and drought through time and space. Part II: The written, oral and proxy records and their meaning. *Mountain Research and Development*, Special issue on frost and drought in the Highlands of Papua New Guinea 9:279-305.
- Andrew, N., L. Rodgerson and A. York (2000). Frequent fuel-reduction burning: the role of logs and associated leaf litter in the conservation of ant biodiversity. *Austral Ecology* 25(1): 99-107.
- Applegate, G. B. A., U. Chokkalingam and S. Suyanto (2001). The underlying causes and impacts of fires in South-east Asia. Final Report. Bogor, Indonesia, Center for International Forestry Research, International Centre for Research in Agroforestry, USAID, US Forest Service: 58.
- Asian Development Bank (1999). Causes, extent, impact and costs of 1997/1998 fires and drought. Final report, Annex 1 and 2. Planning for fire prevention and drought management project. Asian Development Bank TA 2999-INO. Jakarta, Indonesia, Fortech, Pusat Pengembangan Agribisnis, Margueles Pöyry.
- BAPPENAS (Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional) (1992). International Workshop on Long-term Integrated Forest Fire Management in Indonesia, Bandung, 17-18 June 1992. BAPPENAS, Jakarta, 34 pp. (mimeo).
- Barber, C. V. and J. Schweithelm (2000). Trial by fire. Forest fires and forestry policy in Indonesia's era of crisis and reform. Washington D.C, USA, World Resources Institute (WRI), Forest Frontiers Initiative. In collaboration with WWF-Indonesia and Telapak Indonesia Foundation.
- Blanchard, B. M. and R. R. Knight (1990). Reactions of grizzly bears, *Ursus arctos horribilis*, to wildfire in Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming. *Canadian Field Naturalist*. 104(4): 592-594.
- Boer, Chandradewana (1989). Effects of the forest fire 1982-83 in East Kalimantan on wildlife. FR-Report No. 7. Samarinda, Indonesia, Deutsche Forstservice GmbH.
- Brookfield, H., L. Potter and Y. Byron (1995). Drought and fire: Hazards leading towards endangerment, Chapter 8:158-178. In *Place of the forest: Environmental and socio-economic transformation in Borneo and the eastern Malay Peninsula*. Tokyo, Japan, United Nations University Press. 310 pp.
- Canadian Council of Forest Ministers. 1998. Compendium of Canadian Forestry Statistics. Section 3: Forest Fires. National Forestry Database 1998. [online] <http://nfdp.ccfm.org>. Accessed 2001.
- Chandler, C., P. Cheney, P. Thomas, L. Trabaud and D. Williams (1983). *Fire in Forestry: Forest Fire Behavior and Effects*. United States, John Wiley and Sons.
- Cochrane, M. and M. Schulze (1999). Fire as a recurrent event of the Eastern Amazon: Effects on forest structure, biomass, species composition. *Biotropica* 31(1): 2-16.
- Cochrane, M. A., A. Alencar, M. D. Schulze, C. M. Souza, D. C. Nepstad, P. Lefebvre and E. A. Davidson (1999). Positive feedbacks in the fire dynamic of closed canopy tropical forests. *Science* 284: 1834-1836.
- Contreras, G. P. and K. E. Evans (1986). Proceedings--grizzly bear habitat symposium. General Technical Report INT-207., Ogden Utah, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station.
- Davies, S. J. and L. Unam (1999). Smoke-haze from the 1997 Indonesian forest fires: effects on pollution levels, local climate, atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, and tree photosynthesis. *Forest Ecology and Management* 124: 137-144.
- Dennis, R. A. (1999). A review of fire projects in Indonesia 1982 - 1998. Bogor, Center for International Forestry Research: 112.

- Di, X. and J. Ende (1990). The forest conflagration of May 1987 in northeastern China. Fire in Ecosystem Dynamics. Mediterranean and Northern Perspectives (J.G.Goldammer and M.J.Jenkins, eds.) SPB Academic Publishing.
- Economic Commission on Europe (UN)/FAO (1999). Forest Fire Statistics 1996-1998. Timber Bulletin L11: 4. 18 pp.
- Flannigan, M.d. and C.E. Van Wagner (1991). Climate change and wildfire in Canada. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 21, 61.
- Food and Agriculture Organisation (2001). The Global Forest Resources Assessment 2000. Summary Report. Rome, FAO: 40.
- Fosberg, M.A., B.J.Stocks, and T.J.Lynham. (1996). Risk analysis in strategic planning: Fire and climate change in the boreal forest. In: Fire in ecosystems of boreal Eurasia (J.G. Goldammer and V.V.Furyaev, eds.), 495-504. Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, 528 pp.
- Frost, P. (1996). The ecology of miombo woodlands. The Miombo in Transition: Woodlands and Welfare in Africa. B. Campbell. Bogor, Indonesia, Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR): 266 pp.
- Garnett, S. (1992). Threatened and extinct birds of Australia. Melbourne, Australia, Royal Australian Ornithologist Union.
- Gasaway, W. C. and S. D. DuBois (1985). Initial response of moose, *Alces alces*, to a wildfire in interior Alaska. Canadian Field-Naturalist. 99(2): 135-140.
- Global Fire Monitoring Centre (2000). Fire Season Summaries. United States of America. 2000 Wild land Fire Season Summaries Update (18 November 2000). Website address: http://www.ruf.uni-freiburg.de/fireglobe/current/archive/us/2000/us_2000-summary.htm. Accessed 24 August 2001.
- Glover, D. and T. Jessup (eds.) (1999). Indonesia's Fires and Haze: The Cost of Catastrophe. Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, Singapore, and International Development Research Centre, Canada.149 pp.
- Goh, K.T., D.H. Schwela, J.G. Goldammer, and O. Simpson. (1999). Health Guidelines for Vegetation Fire Events. Background Papers. Published on behalf of UNEP, WHO, and WMO. Institute of Environmental Epidemiology, Ministry of the Environment, Singapore. Namic Printers, Singapore, 498 pp.
- Goldammer, J.G. (ed.) (1990). Fire in the tropical biota. Ecosystem processes and global challenges. Ecological Studies 84, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 497 pp.
- Goldammer, J. G. (1999). Forest on Fire. Science 284: 1782-1783.
- Goldammer, J.G. (2000). Ethiopian Fire Special. The Ethiopia Fire Emergency between February and April 2000. International Forest Fire News No.22 April 2000: 2-8.
- Goldammer, J. G. and M. J. Jenkins (eds.) (1990). Fire in Ecosystem Dynamics. Mediterranean and Northern Perspectives. The Hague, Netherlands, SPB Academic Publishing.199pp.
- Goldammer, J.G., and B.Seibert (1990). The impact of droughts and forest fires on tropical lowland rain forest of Eastern Borneo. In: Fire in the tropical biota. Ecosystem processes and global challenges (J.G.Goldammer, ed.), 11-31. Ecological Studies 84, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 497pp.
- Goldammer, J.G., and V.V.Furyaev (eds.) (1996). Fire in ecosystems of boreal Eurasia. Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht. 528 pp.
- Goldammer, J.G., B.Seibert, and W.Schindele (1996). Fire in dipterocarp forests. In: Dipterocarp forest ecosystems: Towards sustainable management (A.Schulte and D.Schöne, eds.), 155-185. World Scientific Publ., Singapore-New Jersey-London-Hongkong, 666 pp.
- Goldammer, J.G., and C.Price. 1998. Potential impacts of climate change on fire regimes in the tropics based on MAGICC and a GISS GCM-derived lightning model. Climatic Change 39, 273-296.

- Harger, J. R. E. (1995a). Air-temperature variation and ENSO effects in Indonesia, The Philippines and El Salvador, ENSO patterns and changes from 1866-1993. *Atmospheric Environment* 29: 1919-1942.
- Harger, J. R. E. (1995b). ENSO variations and drought occurrence in Indonesia and The Philippines. *Atmospheric Environment* 29: 1943-1955.
- Heinselman, M. L. (1973). Fire in the virgin forests of the Boundary Waters Canoe Area, Minnesota. *Quaternary Research* 3: 329-382.
- Hoffmann, A. A., A. Hinrichs and F. Siegert (1999). Fire damage in East Kalimantan in 1997/98 related to land use and vegetation classes: Satellite radar inventory results and proposal for further actions. IFFM-SFMP Report No.1a. Samarinda, East Kalimantan, MOFEC, GTZ and KfW.
- Holdsworth, A. R. and C. Uhl (1997). Fire in Amazonian selectively logged rain forest and the potential for fire reduction. *Ecological Applications* 7(2): 713-725.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2000). *Land use, land-use change, and forestry*. Cambridge, United Kingdom, Cambridge University Press.
- IUCN/WWF (2000). *Global Review of Forest Fires*. The World Conservation Union (IUCN) and Worldwide Fund for Nature (WWF), Gland Switzerland. 64 pp.
- Johnson, E. A. (1992). *Fire and Vegetation Dynamics*. Studies from the North American boreal forest, Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom.
- Kauffmann, J. B. and C. Uhl (1990). Interactions of anthropogenic activities, fire and rain forests in the Amazon Basin. In: *Fire in the Tropical Biota: Ecosystem processes and Global Challenges*. J. G. Goldammer, ed.. Berlin, Germany, Springer-Verlag. 84: 117-134.
- Kauffmann, J. B., C. Uhl and D. L. Cummings (1988). Fire in the Venezuelan Amazon 1: Fuel Biomass and fire chemistry in the evergreen rainforest of Venezuela. *Oikos* 53: 167-175.
- Kikula, I. S. (1986). The influence of fire on the composition of miombo woodland of Southwest Tanzania. *Oikos* 46: 317-324.
- Kiladis, G. and H. Diaz (1986). An analysis of the 1877-78 ENSO episode and comparisons with 1982-83. *Monthly Weather Review* 114: 1035-1047.
- Kinnaird, M. F. and T. G. O'Brien (1998). Ecological effects of wildfire on lowland rainforest in Sumatra. *Conservation Biology* 12(5): 954-956.
- Klein, D. R. (1982). Fire, lichens, and caribou. *Journal of Range Management*. 35(3): 390-395.
- Kramp, B. A., D. R. Patton and W. W. Brady (1983). The effects of fire on wildlife habitat and species. *RUN WILD: Wildlife/ habitat relationships*. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southwestern Region: 29.
- Kutiel, P. (1997). Spatial and temporal heterogeneity of species diversity in a Mediterranean ecosystem following fire. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 7(4): 307-315.
- Leigh, J., R. Boden and J. Briggs (1984). *Extinct and endangered plants of Australia*. Melbourne, Australia, MacMillan.
- Leighton, M. (1984). *The El Nino Southern Oscillation Event in Southeast Asia: Effects of Drought and Fire in Tropical Forest in Eastern Borneo.*, WWF-US.
- Leighton, M. and N. Wirawan (1986). Catastrophic drought and fire in Borneo tropical rain forest associated with the 1982-83 El Niño Southern Oscillations event. *Tropical rainforests and the world atmosphere*. G. Prance. Washington, D.C., U.S.A., American Association for the Advancement of Science: 75-102.

- Lennertz, R. and K. F. Panzer (1983). Preliminary assessment of the drought and forest fire damage in Kalimantan Timur. Report of the Fact-Finding Mission., Transmigration Area Development Project PN 76.2010.7, DFS German Forestry Service Ltd. for GTZ.
- LeResche, R. E., R. H. Bishop and J. W. Cody (1974). Distribution and habitats of moose in Alaska. *Le Naturaliste Canadien* 101: 143-178.
- MacCracken, J. G. and L. A. Viereck (1990). Browse regrowth and use by moose after fire in interior Alaska. *Northwest Science* 64(1): 11-18.
- Mackie, C. (1984). The lessons behind East Kalimantan's forest fires. *Borneo Research Bulletin* 16: 63-74.
- MacKinnon, K., G. Hatta, H. Halim and A. Mangalik (1996). *The ecology of Kalimantan*. Singapore, Periplus Editions.
- Madoui, A. (2000). Forest Fires in Algeria and the Case of the Domanial Forest of Bou-Taleb, Setif. *International Forest Fire News*. 22: 9-15.
- Malingreau, J. P., G. Stephens and L. Fellows (1985). Remote sensing of forest fires: Kalimantan and North Borneo in 1982-83. *Ambio* 14: 314-321.
- Moreira, F., P. G. Ferreira, F. C. Rego and S. Bunting (2001). Landscape changes and breeding bird assemblages in northwestern Portugal: the role of fire. *Landscape Ecology* 16(2): 175-187.
- Nepstad, D. C., A. G. Moreira and A. A. Alencar (1999a). Flames in the rain forest: Origins, impacts and alternatives to Amazonian fires. *Pilot Program to Conserve the Brazilian Rain Forest*. Brasilia, Brazil.
- Nepstad, D. C., A. Verissimo, A. Alencar, C. Nobre, E. Lima, *et al.* (1999b). Large-scale impoverishment of Amazonian forests by logging and fire. *Nature* 398: 505.
- Nieuwstadt van, M. G. L., D. Sheil and K. Kartawinata (In prep). The ecological consequences of logging in the burnt forests of East Kalimantan, Indonesia.
- Ohlson, M., L. Söderström, G. Hörnberg, O. Zackrisson and J. Hermansson (1997). Habitat qualities versus long-term continuity as determinants of biodiversity in boreal old-growth swamp forests. *Biological Conservation* 81: 221-231.
- Orgeas, J. A. and A. N. Andersen (2001). Fire and biodiversity: responses of grass-layer beetles to experimental fire regimes in an Australian tropical savanna., *Journal of Applied Ecology* 38(1): 49-62.
- Oura, B. (1999). Management and Prevention of Forest Fires in Cote d'Ivoire. SODEFOR's Forest Fire Protection Programme. *International Forest Fire News*. 20 pages.
- Pyne, S. J. (1997). *America's Fires: Management on Wildlands and Forests*. Durham, North Carolina. U.S.A, Forest History Society.
- Rabinowitz, A. (1990). Fire, dry dipterocarp forest, and the carnivore community in Huai Kha Kaeng Wildlife Sanctuary, Thailand. *Natural History Bulletin. The Siam Society, Bangkok*. 38: 99-115.
- Rieley, J. (2001). Kalimantan's peatland disaster. *Inside Indonesia* January - March (65): 12 - 13.
- Rijksen, H. D. and E. Meijaard, 1999. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. (1999). *Our Vanishing Relative. The status of wild orang-utans at the close of the twentieth century*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands. Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Royal Forest Department (1992). *Forest fire control in Thailand*, Bureau of Forest Fire Control and Rescue, Bangkok.
- Sanford, R.L., J. Saldarriaga, K.A. Clark, C. Uhl, and R. Herrera (1985). Amazon rain-forest fires. *Science* 227:53-55.

- Schindele, W., W. Thoma and K. Panzer (1989). Investigation of the Steps Needed to Rehabilitate the Areas of East Kalimantan Seriously Affected by Fire. The Forest Fire 1982/83 in East Kalimantan. Part I: The Fire, the Effects, the Damage and the Technical Solutions.
- Schwela, D.H., J.G. Goldammer, L.H. Morawska, and O. Simpson. (1999). Health Guidelines for Vegetation Fire Events. Guideline document. Published on behalf of UNEP, WHO, and WMO. Institute of Environmental Epidemiology, Ministry of the Environment, Singapore. Double Six Press, Singapore, 291 pp.
- Shvidenko, A. and J. G. Goldammer (2001). Fire Situation in Russia. International Forest Fire News. 24: 41-59.
- State Ministry of Environment (1998). Analisis kebijakan penanggulangan kebakaran hutan dan lahan. Tahun 1997. Jakarta, Indonesia.
- Stocker, G. C. (1981). The regeneration of a north Queensland rainforest following felling and burning. Biotropica 13: 86-92.
- Stocks, B.J., and T.J.Lynham. 1996. Fire weather climatology in Canada and Russia. In: Fire in ecosystems of boreal Eurasia (J.G. Goldammer and V.V.Furyaev, eds.), 481-487. Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, 528 pp.
- Sustainable Forest Management Project (1999). Technical Guidelines for Rehabilitation of Fire-Affected Forests in Concessions Areas. Samarinda, Indonesia, GTZ/SFMP.
- Todd, B. and P. H. Kourtz (2000). Predicting the Daily Occurrence of People-Caused Forest Fires, Petawawa National Forestry Institute.
- Tomich, T. P., A. M. Fagi, H. de Foresta, G. Michon, D. Murdiyarso, F. Stolle and M. van Noordwijk (1998). Indonesia's fires: Smoke as a problem, smoke as a symptom. Agroforestry Today 10(1): 4-7.
- Trabaud, L. and J. Lepart (1980). Diversity and stability in garrigue ecosystems after fire. Vegetatio 43: 49-57.
- Trenberth, K. E., and T.J. Hoar (1997). El Niño and climate change. Geophysics Research letters 24 (23): 3057-3060.
- Trapnell, C. G. (1959). Ecological results of woodland burning experiments in Northern Rhodesia. Journal of Ecology 47: 129-168.
- Turvey, N. D. (1994). Afforestation and rehabilitation of *Imperata* grasslands in Southeast Asia: Identification of priorities for research, education, training and extension. Canberra, Australia, Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR)/Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR): 52.
- Uhl, C. and J. B. Kauffman (1990). Deforestation, fire susceptibility, and potential tree responses to fire in the eastern Amazon. Ecology 71(2): 437-449.
- UNDAC (1998a). Brazil - Fires in the State of Roraima, August 1997- April 98. United Nations Office for Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs. 11 pp. Accessed at http://www.unep.org/unep/per/for_fire/brazile.pdf
- UNDAC (1998b). Forest fires in the Island of Sakhalin and the Khabarovsk Krai. Mission Report September - October 1998. Accessed at http://www.unep.org/unep/per/for_fire/rundac1.htm
- UNDAC (1998c). Land, Bush and Forest Fires in Indonesia. Mission Report March - April 1998. United Nations Disaster Assessment and Coordination. Accessed at http://www.unep.org/unep/per/for_fire/indo.htm
- UNEP-WCMC (2000). Forests in Flux, UNEP-WCMC website. 2001.
- van Wagner, C. E. (1978). Age-class distribution and the forest fire cycle. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 8: 220-227.

Whitmore, T. C. (1990). An introduction to tropical rainforests. Oxford, United Kingdom, Clarendon Press.

Wirawan, N. (1987). Good forest within the burned forest area in East Kalimantan. Third Round Table Conference on Dipterocarps, Jakarta, Indonesia, UNESCO.

Wirawan, N. (1993). The hazard of fire. The Search for Sustainability. H. Brookfield and Y. Byron. Tokyo, United Nations University Press: 242-260.

Woinarski, J. C. Z. (1999). Fire and Australian Birds: A Review. Australia's biodiversity - responses to fire. Plants, birds and invertebrates. A. M. Gill, J. C. Z. Woinarski and A. York. Canberra, Environment Australia.

Woods, P. (1987). Drought and fire in tropical forests in Sabah - an analysis of rainfall patterns and some ecological effects. Third Round Table Conference on Dipterocarps, Jakarta, Indonesia, UNESCO.

Woods, P. (1989). Effects of logging, drought and fire on structure and composition of tropical forests in Sabah, Malaysia. *Biotropica* 21(4): 290-298.

Xanthopoulos, G. (2000). Fire Situation in Greece. *International Forest Fire News*. 23: 76-84.

Zackrisson, O. (1977). Influence of forest fires on the North Swedish boreal forest. *Oikos* 29: 22-32.
