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The world’s tropical forests are disappearing, but it is not easy to understand the complexities of how this is happening. The initial critical disturbance that triggers forest depletion is often obscured by the subsequent, more destructive events. Two reports on pages 1829 and 1832 in this issue (1, 2) and a recent paper in Nature (3) demonstrate that fire (together with logging) increases the vulnerability of tropical forests to future burning. These results, presented by a U.S.-Brazilian consortium (3), indicate that the devastating impact of small-sized logging operations and low-intensity surface fires in drought- and logging-stressed Amazonian forests has been underestimated. One of the reports in this week’s Science explains why (2): Fire, an indicator of human intervention in tropical rainforests, is a major driving force in the depletion and savannization of tropical forests (2).

These reports are particularly timely because of the recent massive fires in Indonesia during the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO). The cumulative damaging effects of human forest occupation, forest clearing by fire, and extreme drought caused by interannual climate variability such as ENSO interact to destroy tropical forests. With the likely increase in the frequency and severity of ENSO as a consequence of increasing greenhouse gas concentrations (4), the stage is set for an increasing number of fires and, consequently, for more forest destruction.

These studies highlight a severe problem in our analysis of tropical deforestation and point the way toward a solution. The data from these studies were obtained by vegetation plot and fire behavior studies and multitemporal analyses of high-resolution satellite imageries. They paint a much bleaker picture of the severity of the deforestation problem than we had from previous inventories and remote sensing tool application (Landsat, NOAA AVHRR, SPOT). The application of these remote sensing methods over large areas either did not adequately quantitate small disturbances or subcanopy effects of fire that lead to forest depletion (3), or classified forests partially damaged by fire as “deforested” (2). Thus, present estimates of deforestation based on superficial evaluation of spaceborne information are likely to be superficial and are therefore of limited value. Only concrete numbers such as those presented in these two case studies (2, 3) will be able to focus attention on a potentially disastrous problem.

Scientists have been aware of the detrimental impact of fire on deforestation and the urgent need for action since a forum was convened in Germany 10 years ago. Because fire is an important traditional tool in the management of land, however, it cannot simply be banned (5, 6). In 1992, a large series of experiments clarified the role of vegetation fires and domestic fuel-wood burning on seasonal tropospheric ozone formation in the tropics (7, 8). Nevertheless, little general notice was taken of the steadily increasing fire problem in the equatorial forest belt until the severe fire and smoke episodes of 1997.

Toward a New Fire Schism?

Integrated fire management practices increasingly depend on a balance between natural and human-caused fires. In a broad range of ecosystems, fire is considered necessary for regeneration and recycling—for example, in savannas, grasslands, seasonal tropical forests, some temperate forests, most of the northern circumpolar boreal coniferous forests, and the Mediterranean-climate brushlands (such as the Californian chaparral, the South African Fynbos, or the Mediterranean Macchia). Human fire suppression at certain stages of ecosystem development may lead to the buildup of combustible materials. Once ignited, the resulting high-intensity fires are difficult to control. Prescribed burning and prescribed natural fires in many of these ecosystems, sometimes together with mechanical treatment, imitate natural fire regimes. These procedures reduce fuels, create barriers, and limit intensity and spread of the inevitable wildfires, because the fuels already have been burned under controlled conditions. The validity of this fire and fuels management approach is now questioned by Keeley et al. (1). By analyzing a fire history database for the Californian brushlands, they conclude that fire suppression and rotational burning had no effect on the occurrence of large fires. This report will stir a hot—and crucial—debate on the utility of fire suppression as integrated fire management philosophy moves into the last unexplored corners of the globe. The boreal forest of Russia, covering more than a billion hectares, is one of the most prominent candidate regions. Legal and financial restrictions and the polemics around emissions from vegetation fires will additionally contribute to what is sure to be a polarized debate.
and 1998 in Asia and the Americas. Today, vast tropical rainforest areas have already been opened up by commercial and subsistence land clearing. A large swath of rainforest in South America and Southeast Asia has been affected by its first big (initiating) fire in 1995. Thirteen years after the initial fire, more standing trees have died and collapsed. The undergrowth is dominated by pioneer tree species (predominantly Macaranga spp.). This secondary succession becomes highly flammable in extremely dry years.

1998. A second fire. The tree layer, including the postfire secondary succession, is almost completely killed by a high-intensity fire.

1998. Final stage of fire-induced savannization of the rainforest in a nearby site. The area is dominated by an aggressive invading grass species (imperata cylindrica).

in 1998, the galvanizing event from which more violent, frequent, and destructive fires will follow.

The last 2 years have seen an increase in the willingness of international agencies to address the fire problem. The Global Fire Monitoring Center (GFMC) was established in 1998 for continuous worldwide monitoring, archiving, and information distribution and to form a link between science, users, and policy-makers (9). One of the major objectives of the GFMC is to provide a balanced view on fire and to assist in the clarification of detrimental and beneficial effects of fire and their implications for fire management. The report by Keeley et al. (1), questioning one of the prime tenets in fire management policy, is an important contribution toward this end (see box on previous page). An interagency task force on fire is urgently needed to follow up on the requirements laid out by the UN Convention on Climate Change and the UN Commission for Sustainable Development. The initiative of the World Bank to establish a Consultative Group for Global Disaster Reduction in June 1999 is an important step toward a concerted global fire program.
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